

Tentative Rulings

Law & Motion and Family Law Calendar for April 13, 2015

April 9, 2015, 4:00p.m.

Department Two

To request a hearing on any matter on this calendar, you must call the Court at 530-283-6305 by 12:00 noon, April 10, 2015 notice of the intention to appear must also be given to all other parties. If the clerk is not notified of a party's intention to appear, there will be no hearing and the tentative ruling becomes the order of the court.

If you do appear and want the matter reported by a court reporter in unlimited civil, family law or probate, you must contract with and provide your own court reporter. The Court does not provide an official reporter for these calendars.

Probate – 9:00 a.m.

Case No. PR14-00033 – Estate of Leonhardt

Tentative Ruling: **Approved.** The court finds that notice has been given as required by law. The petitioner's Petition for First and Final Accounting and Report of the Executrix for its Settlement, for Allowance of Commissions and Fees and Final Distribution is approved.

Case No. PR14-00007 - Guardianship of Cabral

Tentative Ruling: **No appearance required.** The court has received and reviewed the confidential guardianship status report, and finds that continued guardianship is in the best interests of the minor. The court schedules the annual review for April 11, 2016, at 9:00a.m. The clerk of the court is reminded to send notice to the guardian one month prior to this date, informing the guardian of the duty to file a confidential status report prior to the review hearing.

Case No. PR14-00011- Guardianship of Johnson

Tentative Ruling: **No appearance required.** The court has received and reviewed the confidential guardianship status report, and finds that continued guardianship is in the best interests of the minor. The court schedules the annual review for April 11, 2016, at 9:00a.m. The clerk of the court is reminded to send notice to the guardian one month prior to this date, informing the guardian of the duty to file a confidential status report prior to the review hearing.

Civil – 9:30 a.m.

Case No. CV13-00059 – Corey vs. Brown

Tentative Ruling: **Denied.** Petitioner's Motion to Augment the Record is denied. Pursuant to CCP Section 1094.5(e), evidence outside the administrative record must be relevant to be reconsidered. Here, petitioner's request to augment the record to include a specific repair order on a vehicle and related documents, is irrelevant, as the accusation upon which the administrative hearing was held does not involve the specific repair performed by the petitioner.

Case No. CV13-00032 – Klein vs. Wells Fargo Bank

Tentative Ruling: **Granted.** Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Prepare a Settled Statement is granted.

Case No. CV15-00008 – Plumas Bank vs. Rapid Veterinarian Service, Ltd.

Tentative Ruling: **No appearance required.** The court confirms the first case management conference of July 28, 2015, at 1:30p.m.

Case No. CV12-00214 – Rose vs. Guereque

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will review the payment plan with the parties.

Case No. CV14-00194 – Matter of Singh

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court has not received the proof of publication.

Family Law – 10:30 a.m.

Case No. FL13-00167 – Mar. of Buckley

Tentative Ruling: **Granted.** The court finds that notice has been given as required by law. Petitioner's Request to Change Venue is granted. Pursuant to CCP 397, petitioner has provided a sufficient basis to change venue, as the convenience of witnesses and the ends of justice would be promoted by changing venue to Sacramento County. Petitioner is to prepare the Order and pay the fees for transferring the case.

Case No. FL09-00192 – Davis vs. Bruns

Tentative Ruling: **No appearance required.** The court continues this matter until April 27, 2015. If a signed mediation is submitted before that date, this matter may be taken off calendar.

Case No. FL08-28432 – Pace vs. Stringfellow

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court intends to grant petitioner's request, modifying custody and visitation.

Case No. FL10-00266 – Mar. of White

Tentative Ruling: **No appearance required.** The court has received a stipulation and signed an order modifying custody and visitation.

CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE TENTATIVE RULINGS

Case No. AD14-00004 and AD14-00003 – Adoption Petition of Hopper

Tentative Ruling: **No appearance required.** The court continues the trial setting conference to April 27, 2015, at 1:30, at the request of mother's counsel.

Case No. LC14-00185 – Barclays Bank Delaware vs. Burns

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.**

Case No. FS14-00255 – County of El Dorado vs. Hoffman

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will review the status of custody and visitation with the parties.

Case No. CV14-00121 – Foremost Insurance vs. American Standard

Tentative Ruling: **No appearance required.** The court notes this matter has settled. The court vacates the readiness conference on June 23, and the trial on July 7, 2015. This matter is set for another case management conference on June 22, 2015, at 2:00p.m., to confirm that a dismissal has been filed.

Case No. LC14-00073 – Persolve vs. Reviea

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court notes that no request for default has been filed.

Case No. CV09-00065 – Adams vs. Dept. of Fish and Game

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.**

Case No. CV13-00159 – Corey vs. Brown

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will discuss the status of the case with the parties.

Case No. CV13-00187 – CSAA Insurance Exchange vs. Electrolux Home Products

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will confirm a mediator and date for mediation.

Case No. PR13-00028 – Estate of McGushin

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will discuss the status of the case with the parties.

Case No. CV13-00158 – Gomez vs. Walter

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.**

Case No. FL14-00095 – Greenberg vs. Cabral

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will reset the trial.

Case No. CV14-00149 – McLaughlin vs. JP Morgan Chase Bank

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court notes defendant has failed to file a case management conference statement. Should there be any further violations of Rule 3.725, this matter will be set for an order to show cause and sanctions may be imposed. The parties should be prepared to discuss ADR options and set a trial date.

Case No. CV14-00171 – Plumas Bank vs. Fisher

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The parties should be prepared to discuss ADR options and set a trial date.

Case NO. CV13-00149 – Seneca Gold, LLC vs. Preim

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The parties should be prepared to discuss ADR options and set a trial date.