

Tentative Rulings

Law & Motion and Family Law Calendar for February 25, 2013

February 21, 2013, 4:00p.m.

Department Two

To request a hearing on any matter on this calendar, you must call the Court at 530/283-6305 by 12:00 noon, February 22nd, notice of the intention to appear must also be given to all other parties. If the clerk is not notified of a party's intention to appear, there will be no hearing and the tentative ruling becomes the order of the court.

If you do appear and want the matter reported by an official court reporter in unlimited civil, family law or probate, you must pay the \$30.00 court reporter appearance fee as provided by GC§68086(a)(1)(A) before the hearing begins.

Probate – 9:00 a.m.

Case No. PR4382 – Conservatorship of Pearce

Tentative Ruling: **Approved.** The court finds that notice has been given as required by law. The Thirty-Fifth Account and Report of Conservator; Petition for Allowance of Attorneys fees is approved.

Case No. PR13-00004 – Guardianship of Miles

Tentative Ruling: **No appearance required.** At the request of the guardianship investigator, this hearing is continued to March 25, 2013, at 9:00a.m.

Case No. PR13-00001 – Guardianship of Prestigiacomo

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court has not received the guardianship investigator's report.

Civil – 9:30 a.m.

Case No. LC12-00232 – Denson vs. Gehrman

Tentative Ruling: **Sustained, without leave.** The court notes that no opposition to the demurrer was filed. Upon consideration of the pleadings and papers and with good cause shown, defendants' demurrer to the complaint is sustained. The complaint fails to set forth facts sufficient to state a valid cause of action against defendants. It is further ordered that defendants are dismissed from this action without prejudice.

Family Law – 10:30 a.m.

Case No. FL11-00193 – Barreno vs. Wingfield

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.**

Case No. FL13-00011 – Mar. of Burkhart

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.**

Case No. FL09-00203 – Dewey vs. Jay

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court has not received the recommendation.

Case No. FL08-00142 – Fitzgerald vs. Lorenzo

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court notes there is no proof of service in the file on the petitioner.

Case No. FL11-00291 – Mar. of Hammerich

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court notes there is no proof of service in the file on the respondent.

Case No. FL12-00239 – Lane vs. Potillor

Tentative Ruling: **No appearance required.** The court has signed a custody and visitation agreement.

Case No. FL12-00107 – Mar. of Millard

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.**

Case No. LF13-00015 – Myers vs. Hernandez

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court notes there is no proof of service in the file on the respondent.

Case No. FL:12-00019 – Sousa vs. Manjeot

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.**

Case No. FL09-00237 – Mar. of Wharton

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will review the mediation recommendation with the parties.

CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE TENTATIVE RULINGS

Case No. CV12-00199 – CACH, LLC vs. Wallace

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court notes there is no proof of service on the defendant.

Case No. CV12-00226 – Fire Insurance Exchange vs. Arrowhead Brass Products

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The parties should be prepared to discuss ADR options and set a trial date.

Case No. CV12-00179 – Ramoz vs. Willis

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The parties should be prepared to discuss ADR options and set a trial date.

Case No. LC12-00211 – Razor Capital II, LLC vs. Valdez

Tentative Ruling: **No appearance required.** The court notes that an answer is due on February 26, 2013. Therefore, this case management conference is continued to March 11, 2013 at 1:30p.m.

Case No. LC12-Q0237 – Willis vs. Nix

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.**

Case No. LC12-Q0227 – Willis vs. Taylor

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** If there is no appearance, the court intends to dismiss this action.

Case No. CV10-00263 – Barlow vs. Union Pacific Railroad

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court intends to schedule a mandatory settlement conference.

Case No. CV09-00194 – Martin vs. Lake Almanor Lakeside Villas

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.**

Case No. CV12-00082 – Young vs. Plumas District Hospital

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will hear the results of mediation. If the case has not settled, the court will set the matter for trial.